```
1
        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
4
    W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
    capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
    OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
    OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
    ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
    in his capacity as the
    TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
8
    FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                 Plaintiff,
10
                                   )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
    vs.
11
    TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                Defendants.
13
14
                      THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15
    RONALD MULLIKIN, produced as a witness on behalf
16
    of the Plaintiff in the above styled and numbered
17
    cause, taken on the 14th day of November, 2007, in
18
    the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of
19
    Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified
20
    Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by
21
    virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
22
23
24
25
```

1	Peterson?	
2	A There were a number of things going on in the	
3	poultry industry at that time. A number of senior	
4	people had left Peterson Farms. My old superiors at	
5	Wal-Mart or Sam's Club had been calling me	02:19PM
6	periodically asking me to come back, and I just felt	
7	like that was the right thing to do at that time.	
8	Q How would you characterize your job	
9	satisfaction while you worked for Peterson?	
10	A Good.	02:19PM
11	Q When you assumed the position, among your	
12	other functions, of director of environmental	
13	affairs for Peterson, what were the primary	
14	environmental concerns the company had at that time?	
15	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	02:20PM
16	A I think the primary concern that they had was	
17	to address the issues that were being raised by the	
18	City of Tulsa. Certainly nationally there were	
19	issues that were being raised about animal waste in	
20	general, and so it was an opportunity for them to	02:20PM
21	possibly get a better understanding of what was	
22	going on and how those things were going to affect	
23	them.	
24	Q To try to be more specific, let me ask you	
25	this question: Were they concerned about the	02:20PM

1	waste that was generated at the contract growers'	
2	farms?	
3	A I knew that the contract growers were cleaning	
4	out their buildings and that after talking to them	
5	in various meetings, that most of it was either	02:32PM
6	being sold to neighbors or being used on their own	
7	pasture lands.	
8	$oldsymbol{Q}$ When it was being sold to neighbors, did you	
9	know what the neighbors were doing with it?	
10	A No. I could only assume what they were doing	02:32PM
11	with it.	
12	Q Which was putting it on their pasture lands?	
13	A That's correct.	
14	Q Do you have any knowledge about or did you	
15	learn while you were employed at Peterson Farms that	02:33PM
16	typically what had been done over the years with	
17	waste generated at contract growers' farms was land	
18	application in the nearby area to where it was	
19	generated?	
20	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	02:33PM
21	A It was my understanding that poultry litter	
22	had been placed on pasture lands throughout	
23	northeast Oklahoma and northwest Arkansas for	
24	decades.	
25	Q During the time you worked at Peterson, was	02:33PM

1	Q It won't cause an algae bloom if it stays on
2	the field, though, will it?
3	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.
4	A I don't know how it could.
5	Q Okay. Were these was were those facts 02:49PM
6	you were just telling me about well known by people
7	in the management level at Peterson Farms while you
8	were employed there?
9	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.
10	A One of my responsibilities was to make them 02:49PM
11	let me start over again. One of my responsibilities
12	was trying to help them understand all facets and
13	factors that were impacting this particular problem.
14	Q Okay. Did you have discussions such as the
15	one you and I were just having about problems with 02:49PM
16	phosphorus being land applied with management level
17	people at Peterson?
18	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.
19	A Many times.
20	Q The first sentence of the article gets back to 02:50PM
21	what you were just telling me about. I think you
22	will agree it says, soluble phosphorus entering
23	water bodies from agricultural land can foster algae
24	and aquatic weeds, which can deplete oxygen, kill
25	fish and cause objectionable odors. Do you agree 02:50PM

1	with that today?	
2	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	
3	A Based on my understanding of how the whole	
4	aquatic system works, yes.	
5	Q Did you have that belief when you worked for	02:50PM
6	Peterson back in the 19 late 1990's?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Did you have discussions about that with	
9	people at the management level of Peterson Farms?	
10	A I did.	02:50PM
11	Q On the second page of the article, under the	
12	heading land application it says at the top, quote,	
13	most poultry producers land apply litter as a best	
14	management practice. This can be an involved	
15	process when phosphorus control is factored in	02:51PM
16	because producers must balance the phosphorus in	
17	manure, fertilizer and soil to the needs of the	
18	crop. Timing, application method, equipment	
19	calibration, where the litter is placed and other	
20	factors are important in limiting nutrient runoff.	02:51PM
21	Was that known to people in the management of	
22	Peterson Farms while you were employed there?	
23	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	
24	A I believe so.	
25	Q Some of the things that are recommended in	02:51PM

1	this article to control phosphorus from poultry
2	waste were the first two I'm going to refer to
3	are found on Page 2, buffer strips 75 to 125 feet
4	wide around fields where waste is land applied,
5	phosphorus traps to catch phosphorus, and then on 02:52PM
6	the last page there are bullet points which list
7	applying the litter based on a crop's phosphorus
8	needs rather than its nitrogen demand, transporting
9	litter to other areas for land application in raw or
10	processed form, using feeds specially blended to 02:52PM
11	increase digestible phosphorus, adding phytase to
12	feed to convert indigestible phosphorus to
13	digestible phosphorus and treating litter in the
14	house with alum. During the period of time you
15	worked at Peterson Farms, were any of these methods 02:52PM
16	to control litter put into effect by Peterson?
17	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.
18	Q I should have said to control the amount of
19	phosphorus in the litter put into effect by
20	Peterson. 02:52PM
21	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.
22	A The various bullet points here I believe
23	all of those at one point or another were shared
24	with the growers, Peterson Farm growers. Many of
25	these were put into effect with company-owned 02:53PM

1	happened at that time?	
2	A I believe it did. I believe, if I may, there	
3	were a number of things that had been agreed to by	
4	the a number of integrators and the City of Tulsa	
5	that the integrators would do certain things. This	02:59PM
6	may have been a part of that. I don't recall.	
7	Q Let me go back to the first sentence of this	
8	letter before I leave it. Would that statement have	
9	had equal application to the Illinois River	
10	watershed as it would have had, say, to the	02:59PM
11	Eucha-Spavinaw watershed?	
12	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	
13	A As I said, I don't have any recollection of	
14	what was going on in the Illinois River watershed at	
15	that time. I was primarily involved in the	02:59PM
16	Eucha-Spavinaw.	
17	Q Were the practices of cleaning out grow houses	
18	periodically and spreading the waste generated in	
19	those by the birds owned by Peterson the same in the	
20	watersheds adjoining the Eucha watershed as they	03:00PM
21	were there?	
22	A And I don't know as I could answer that. I	
23	know Peterson Farms had if memory serves me	
24	correctly, when we looked at the number of total	
25	chicken houses that were in the Eucha-Spavinaw,	03:00PM

1	Q Why did you feel, again, quoting your own	
2	words, without any doubt that the company would be	
3	found liable for the litter?	
4	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	
5	A I felt that politically that was a decision	03:26PM
6	that would have been made because of those powers	
7	pushing it that way, whether it was the EPA or the	
8	City of Tulsa.	
9	Q Next, let me direct your attention to the	
10	second page. The next to the last paragraph where	03:27PM
11	you say, Dan, I feel the direction Peterson Farms	
12	and all integrators would be best served to focus	
13	its resources towards would be alternative uses.	
14	Things such as using litter as bedding, feed,	
15	fertilizer and fuel are just a few of the uses I've	03:27PM
16	found some information on. Each of these uses has	
17	its own set of benefits and shortcomings, but they	
18	all address the environmental need to stop applying	
19	litter to our local pasture lands. In your position	
20	as head of environmental affairs at Peterson Farms,	03:27PM
21	when you wrote that memorandum on March 27th, 1998,	
22	why did you say that there was an environmental need	
23	to stop applying litter to local pasture lands?	
24	A Because, once again, of the loading of the	
25	soils, the lands, the pasture lands of phosphates	03:28PM

1	Q Were you aware while you were	e employed by	
2	Peterson that the waste produced by its birds		
3	contained bacteria?		
4	MR. McDANIEL: Object to t	the form.	
5	A No.	03:45PM	
6	Q Were you aware that poultry w	waste contains	
7	pathogens?		
8	MR. McDANIEL: Object to t	the form.	
9	A I would have thought that it	did, yes.	
10	Q Okay. Do you know when you f	First became aware 03:45PM	
11	of that?		
12	A Well, my background in agricu	alture and farming	
13	and raising livestock myself, as soc	on as I knew a	
14	little bit about the business, I wou	ald have assumed	
15	that to be true.	03:45PM	
16	Q Was the subject of pathogens	in poultry waste	
17	ever part of any discussion you had	within the	
18	company while you worked there?		
19	A Not that I recall.		
20	Q Are you familiar with somethi	ng called the 03:45PM	
21	poultry water quality handbook?		
22	A I believe so.		
23	Q We've marked it as Exhibit 6	to your	
24	deposition. What is your understand	ding of what the	
25	poultry water quality handbook is?	03:46PM	

1	A If I recall correctly, this was a docume:	nt
2	that we had gotten a copy of and with the permi	ssion
3	of it says here the water quality consortium	and
4	it was also the TVA, Tennessee Valley Authority	, we
5	got permission to copy it and distribute it to	all 03:46PM
6	of our growers.	
7	Q Would you look at the second page of Exh	ibit
8	6. Do you see what that second page is?	
9	A The letter by Dan Henderson?	
10	Q Yeah. Do you remember having seen this	letter 03:47PM
11	before?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q Did you discuss with President Henderson	
14	anything in this letter, the contents of the le	tter?
15	A I don't recall if I discussed it with him	m 03:47PM
16	specifically or not.	
17	Q Did he ask your assistance in writing the	е
18	letter?	
19	A I don't recall.	
20	Q Did you know that the letter had been wr	itten? 03:47PM
21	A Did I know that the letter had been writ	ten?
22	Q Right. When did you first become aware	that
23	Dan Henderson had written the growers this lett	er
24	about the poultry water quality handbook?	
25	A Well, I would have thought that probably	on a 03:47PM

1	A	I don't know.	
2	Q	Okay. How long has it been since you last saw	
3	Mr. Henderson?		
4	A	Probably two years.	
5	Q	Let me ask you to look in Exhibit 6 at page	03:50PM
6	it wil	ll say at the bottom. It has a stamp Pigeon	
7	and it	will have a four digit number after that and	
8	this r	number is 0619. Would you look at that page?	
9	A	Okay.	
10	Q	Let me direct your attention to the heading	03:51PM
11	which	says responsible waste management-the	
12	envir	onmental challenge.	
13	A	Okay.	
14	Q	First of all, do you recall having read this	
15	before	e?	03:51PM
16	A	I did not ever read the entire document.	
17	Q	Okay. Under that heading I just read, it says	
18	over i	in the last paragraph, which is under that	
19	headir	ng that's on the right	
20	A	Uh-huh.	03:51PM
21	Q	column of the page, it says understanding	
22	the co	omplexity of poultry operations can help us	
23	addres	ss these potential water quality and	
24	envir	onmental issues. The industry is separated	
25	into h	natchery, breeder, broiler, roaster, Cornish	03:52PM

and game hens, parenthesis, meat types, closed	
parenthesis, and turkey, egg, duck and other poultry	
and live bird processing operations. Each of those	
operations produces dry or liquid waste and dead	
birds. Recent developments have shifted	03:52PM
environmental awareness beyond live bird processing	
plants, parenthesis, offal, feathers and wastewater,	
closed parenthesis, to focus on growers. The shift	
reflects an increasing awareness of how agricultural	
runoff affects water quality. It also recognizes	03:52PM
that the growth of the industry and its	
concentration in certain regions elevates animal	
waste management to a status of a major problem.	
Let me ask, first of all, if you agree with the last	
statement, that the growth of the industry and its	03:52PM
concentration in certain regions elevates waste	
management to a major problem?	
MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	
A I would have to answer that you know, I	
don't think that you can say that you can put it in	03:53PM
the status of a major problem unless you have more	
information. You know, it talks about the	
concentration of certain regional areas. So	
Q Do you agree there has been a concentration of	
poultry production in certain regions to use the	03:53PM
	parenthesis, and turkey, egg, duck and other poultry and live bird processing operations. Each of those operations produces dry or liquid waste and dead birds. Recent developments have shifted environmental awareness beyond live bird processing plants, parenthesis, offal, feathers and wastewater, closed parenthesis, to focus on growers. The shift reflects an increasing awareness of how agricultural runoff affects water quality. It also recognizes that the growth of the industry and its concentration in certain regions elevates animal waste management to a status of a major problem. Let me ask, first of all, if you agree with the last statement, that the growth of the industry and its concentration in certain regions elevates waste management to a major problem? MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form. A I would have to answer that you know, I don't think that you can say that you can put it in the status of a major problem unless you have more information. You know, it talks about the concentration of certain regional areas. So Q Do you agree there has been a concentration of

1	term here?	
2	A Yes, and as it would pertain to northwest	
3	Arkansas, I would agree with the statement.	
4	Q Okay. Do you know if the people at the	
5	management level of Peterson Farms when you were	03:53PM
6	there recognized that the growth of the industry and	
7	its concentration in certain regions elevated animal	
8	waste management to the status of a major problem?	
9	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	
10	A I don't believe I ever heard them say that	03:54PM
11	specifically, but I would have a sense that they	
12	did.	
13	Q Look at Page 620, the next page over. In the	
14	right-hand column again in the last paragraph it	
15	says, the overriding environment issue facing	03:54PM
16	growers today is to prevent poultry waste from	
17	adversely affecting water and air quality. First,	
18	do you agree with that?	
19	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	
20	A Yes.	03:54PM
21	Q Then it says potential water pollutants from	
22	on-farm poultry operations can be classified as,	
23	number one, nutrients and salts, number two, organic	
24	materials, number three, bacteria and, number four,	
25	viruses. Let me ask you just about the first two of	03:54PM

1	those, nutrients and bacteria. To your knowledge		
2	when you were employed at Peterson Farms, did people		
3	in the management level in the company take issue		
4	with the idea that nutrients in poultry waste were a		
5	potential water pollutant?	3:54PM	
6	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.		
7	A I don't believe so.		
8	Q Were bacteria from poultry waste ever		
9	considered to be a potential water pollutant by any		
10	Peterson executives?	3:55PM	
11	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.		
12	A I don't recall those discussions.		
13	Q Did you believe bacteria from poultry waste		
14	were potential water pollutants?		
15	A I don't recall having an opinion about it.	3:55PM	
16	Q Let's look at Page 630, Pigeon 630 of the		
17	water quality handbook, under the heading		
18	microorganisms, which is the last paragraph on the		
19	right.		
20	A Uh-huh.	3:55PM	
21	Q It says, desirable and undesirable		
22	microorganisms live in our environment. Animal		
23	waste is a potential source of some 150		
24	disease-causing organisms or pathogens. These		
25	organisms includes bacteria, viruses, fungi, 03	3:55PM	

1	MR. McDANIEL: Object to the form.	
2	A I don't recall any that I had. It was not	
3	my focus once again was primarily on the litter and	
4	its potential problem as a phosphate source. I just	
5	didn't get involved in the viruses, bacteria.	04:30PM
6	MR. RIGGS: We might be finished. I'll	
7	pass the witness.	
8	CROSS EXAMINATION	
9	BY MR. McDANIEL:	
10	Q I'm going to ask you a few questions, Mr.	04:30PM
11	Mullikin. Give me just a second to get reorganized	
12	here. Mr. Mullikin, first off, you and I just	
13	the first time you and I ever spoke was in the lobby	
14	downstairs prior to this deposition; is that	
15	correct?	04:31PM
16	A Yes.	
17	Q You've never met me before today; is that	
18	true?	
19	A No, I have not.	
20	Q Okay. The in response to questions that	04:31PM
21	Mr. Riggs asked you, you've offered a number of	
22	opinions. I just would like to clarify for the	
23	Record that the opinions you are offering are your	
24	personal opinions?	
25	A That's correct.	04:31PM

1	Q	Are you here speaking for Peterson Farms	
2	today,	Mr. Mullikin?	
3	A	No, I'm not.	
4	Q	Have you made any statement today, Mr.	
5	Mullik	in, that you intend to be binding upon	04:31PM
6	Peters	on Farms?	
7	A	No.	
8	Q	When you were employed at Peterson Farms, you	
9	were n	ot an officer of the corporation; is that	
10	correc	t?	04:31PM
11	A	I was not.	
12	Q	So you were not authorized to make statements	
13	that w	ould be legally binding on Peterson Farms even	
14	then;	is that true?	
15		MR. RIGGS: Object to the form.	04:32PM
16	A	That's my understanding, yes.	
17	Q	Now, let's see. Mr. Riggs offered as exhibits	
18	to you	r deposition a few memoranda that you wrote.	
19	Let me	get them organized here. I guess I see two,	
20	Exhibi	t No. 4 and Exhibit No. 5?	04:32PM
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	Two memos that you wrote and, again, these	
23	memos	contain your personal views and opinions at	
24	the ti	me you drafted them; is that right?	
25	A	That's correct.	04:32PM

1	Q Am I correct that in your prior testimony you		
2	said you had little involvement or personal		
3	knowledge about operations that were ongoing in the		
4	Illinois River watershed?		
5	A That's correct.	04:34PM	
6	Q So the opinions that are expressed in Exhibits		
7	4 and 5 are not really applicable to the Illinois		
8	River watershed; am I right or wrong about that?		
9	A That would be right.		
10	Q Looking at some of the comments you made in	04:34PM	
11	your March 27th, 1998 memo, you said in the last		
12	paragraph, first page, we are also faced with a lack		
13	of science to help us understand where we are and		
14	where we need to go, and you say agronomists can't		
15	agree on the movement of phosphate, the water	04:35PM	
16	solubility of the P in the litter and means of		
17	making P more efficient in our feeds and how much P		
18	in our soils is too much. You say agencies can't		
19	agree on max soil levels. Did I read your		
20	statements correctly?	04:35PM	
21	A That's what it says.		
22	Q Did you have the view at that time that there		
23	were a lot of folks that didn't seem to have their		
24	facts straight?		
25	A There were so many varied opinions. You could	04:35PM	

1	for instance, I don't know if it was the AG or just
2	I don't recall what it was, that had filed suit
3	stating that the growers, for instance, I believe it
4	was in a hog operation, vertically integrated
5	operation where they were liable, that the manure 04:39PM
6	created by the pigs was indeed the producers, and so
7	that was part of the issue that I was concerned
8	about, that it was going to continue to be driven
9	throughout the country.
10	Q Was this is this risk of liability or 04:39PM
11	excuse me, at the time you wrote the memo, was it
12	your view that this risk of liability was driven by
13	politics rather than science?
14	A Absolutely.
15	Q Let me ask you to look at Exhibit No. 5. 04:39PM
16	That's your other memorandum. Your at the very
17	last sentence where you say or it may mean our
18	industry must take excuse me, must make some
19	changes in the way we do business, you testified in
20	response to some questions from Mr. Riggs that I 04:40PM
21	believe you said that some of the ways of changing
22	doing business would be changing clean-out
23	intervals, looking at feed additives, et cetera. Is
24	that a correct statement or is that a correct
25	statement? 04:40PM

1	their	fields, that water pollution will necessarily	
2	result	?	
3	A	No.	
4	Q	In your personal opinion, do you believe that	
5	when p	oultry growers use poultry litter on their	04:49PM
6	fields	, that water pollution is likely to result?	
7	A	I don't believe you can make that statement	
8	either		
9	Q	I want to walk through and fill in a little	
10	additi	onal information about some of your work	04:49PM
11	experi	ences both in and outside of Peterson Farms	
12	and al	so to touch on some of your background. You	
13	got as	ked today a number of fairly technical	
14	questi	ons, and have you received any specialized	
15	traini	ng in the environmental sciences?	04:49PM
16	A	No, I've not.	
17	Q	Any specialized training in soils?	
18	A	No, I've not.	
19	Q	Agronomy?	
20	A	No, I've not.	04:49PM
21	Q	Microbiology?	
22	A	No, I've not.	
23	Q	Chemistry?	
24	A	No, I've not.	
25	Q	Human health effects?	04:50PM

1	A	No, I have not.	
2	Q	Sir, do you consider yourself to be qualified	
3	by ed	ucation or experience to offer scientific	
4	opini	ons?	
5	A	Not to offer scientific opinions, no.	04:50PM
6	Q	Do you consider yourself qualified by	
7	educa	tion or experience to offer engineering	
8	opini	ons?	
9	A	No, I do not.	
10	Q	When you what were you doing, sir,	04:50PM
11	emplo	yment-wise, what were you doing when you	
12	just 1	before you were hired by Peterson Farms?	
13	A	I had taken a sabbatical from Wal-Mart	
14	Corpo	ration.	
15	Q	You when you gave your testimony in 2002,	04:50PM
16	you w	ere back working for Sam's, the Sam's	
17	A	Uh-huh.	
18	Q	in Iowa?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	What location in Iowa?	04:50PM
21	A	I was a regional marketing manager for the	
22	state	of Iowa.	
23	Q	And so prior to working at Peterson, had you	
24	worke	d within the Wal-Mart, Sam's group prior to	
25	worki	ng for Peterson?	04:51PM

1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	What did you do there?	
3	A	I was an assistant manager and I was regional	
4	marke	eting manager.	
5	Q	In what states or localities?	04:51PM
6	A	I was in West Virginia, New Jersey and Iowa.	
7	Q	So you have considerable experience in retail;	
8	is th	nat a fair characterization?	
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	When you somehow you managed to move back	04:51PM
11	to no	orthwest Arkansas from these faraway places?	
12	A	Uh-huh.	
13	Q	What brought you back to northwest Arkansas?	
14	A	The hope for an opportunity to move into the	
15	home	office there in Bentonville.	04:51PM
16	Q	And I just realized my question might have	
17	been	confusing. The time frame for my question was	
18	prior	to going to work for Peterson. Is that what	
19	you u	understood I was asking?	
20	A	Uh-huh, uh-huh.	04:52PM
21	Q	Did you have an opportunity to go into the	
22	home	office with Wal-Mart?	
23	A	I had a number of interviews but didn't find	
24	anyth	ning that I felt was something that I wanted to	
25	do an	nd took the sabbatical and did some put an	04:52PM

1	Q Is this who are the primary targets of the		
2	training; is this for training new hires into the		
3	company?		
4	A The trainers that worked for me would work		
5	with new hires and then the other part of it were	04:53PM	
6	developing programs within the company to hire		
7	excuse me, to work with management, to work with		
8	supervisors, work with foremen, helping with their		
9	management skills or whatever the case might be.		
10	Q So could this training include people who	04:53PM	
11	would operate or work on the line in the processing		
12	plant?		
13	A Yes.		
14	Q Could it include like feed delivery drivers?		
15	A It could.	04:54PM	
16	Q Could it include all the range of employment		
17	positions within Peterson Farms?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q Now, what were the qualifications for this		
20	position as you understood them?	04:54PM	
21	A They wanted some experience in a supervisory		
22	capacity and some experience having done some		
23	training.		
24	Q Well, you've already testified that you		
25	eventually after a few months were given the title	04:54PM	

1	employees and supervisors, between supervisors and	
2	supervisors, and just those things that you do when	
3	you have a couple of thousand people working for	
4	you.	
5	Q Did I understand your prior testimony	04:56PM
6	correctly that you got involved in this	
7	environmental arena because Peterson had a real need	
8	for someone to go represent it at these meetings	
9	that were springing up as a consequence of the City	
10	of Tulsa issues?	04:56PM
11	A That's what I believed, yes.	
12	Q Do you know, sir, why you were given excuse	
13	me. Do you know why you were given the title of	
14	director of environmental affairs?	
15	A I would assume because I had some background,	04:56PM
16	I probably as much as anyone had some idea what	
17	nitrogen, phosphate and potash was.	
18	Q Peterson's processing plant in Decatur are	
19	subject to a number of state and federal	
20	environmental regulations; is that right?	04:57PM
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Did you have any responsibilities relating to	
23	the processing plant environmental issues?	
24	A None.	
25	Q The way I understand your testimony, it sounds	04:57PM

1	like your environmental affairs position was			
2	primarily as a liaison between these groups and			
3	Peterson Farms; is that a fair characterization?			
4	MR. RIGGS: Objection, leading.			
5	A Yes, I would say so.	04:57PM		
6	Q Did you ever write any environmental policies			
7	for Peterson Farms?			
8	A Policies? I don't recall that, no.			
9	Q Now, at the time you started work at Peterson			
10	Farms and three months or so later you said you got	04:57PM		
11	involved on the environmental side, at that time how			
12	much experience did you have in the poultry			
13	industry?			
14	A I had no experience in the poultry industry			
15	prior to going to work for Peterson.	04:58PM		
16	Q Did you find that you had a need to consult			
17	with some more experienced people like Mr. Houchins?			
18	A On occasions, yes.			
19	Q In fact, on these issues, would you defer to			
20	Mr. Houchins given his long experience in the	04:58PM		
21	poultry business?			
22	A Not normally, no.			
23	Q Sir, do you believe that during the time you			
24	were employed with Peterson Farms and had a basis			
25	for an opinion, do you believe that Peterson was an	04:58PM		

```
1
     environmentally responsible company?
 2
               MR. GARREN: Object to the form.
 3
     Α
            Yes.
 4
            Sir, did you believe that Peterson Farms
 5
     employed environmentally sound standards for
                                                                     04:58PM
 6
     handling, storage, use and disposal of poultry
 7
     litter?
 8
               MR. GARREN: Object, predicate.
 9
               MR. RIGGS: Yeah.
            I think it was an ongoing process. I think
                                                                     04:59PM
10
     that -- I mean that was one of my roles, to
11
12
     constantly be looking at ways to do what we were
     doing better and be better environment stewards.
13
           And was it your opinion that Peterson Farms'
14
15
     objective was to be the best it could be?
                                                                     04:59PM
               MR. GARREN: Objection.
16
               MR. RIGGS: Object to the form.
17
18
            I don't know as I could make that comment.
19
            Okay. When you left Peterson Farms, what was
20
     your very next employment?
                                                                     04:59PM
21
            I went back to work for Wal-Mart.
22
            And what was your position?
     Q
            I was an assistant manager for about five
23
24
     months and then was promoted to general manager of
                                                                     04:59PM
25
     Sam's Club.
```

1	Q Since you left Peterson Farms, have you held		
2	any other positions where you were an environmental		
3	manager of any type?		
4	A No.		
5	Q The poultry water quality handbook that was	04:59PM	
6	marked as Exhibit 6 to your deposition, you said		
7	that you never have had you never have read this		
8	thing all the way through; is that true?		
9	A That's correct.		
10	Q Are you aware that it's got a number of	05:00PM	
11	technical articles within it?		
12	A Yes, it does.		
13	Q Do you know to what extent this science on		
14	these issues has advanced since this thing was		
15	published in 1998?	05:00PM	
16	A I have no idea.		
17	Q Sir, do you consider yourself qualified to		
18	determine whether or not the studies and articles in		
19	that handbook are technically correct?		
20	A Based on what I have learned and been told and	05:00PM	
21	the various opinions that I've heard, I would have		
22	no more an opinion about whether or not I agreed		
23	with them.		
24	Q Okay, and, well, I was asking whether you're		
25	qualified to state the technical whether they are	05:01PM	